Developing leaders and transforming libraries
Leadership institutes for librarians

What do focus, passion, courage, wisdom, and faith have to do with our day-to-day work? If you are a leader in today’s academic library, those qualities are essential for success in guiding this generation of library workers through the current reinvention of the academic library. As Karen Wittenborg has pointed out in her essay “Rocking the Boat,” the leaders she most admires are those who are “visionaries, risk takers, good collaborators and communicators, mentors, and people with uncommon passion and persistence.” It is these kinds of leaders who will be able to turn this transition into a meaningful “transformation,” and the development of these leaders is a key challenge for the profession today.

Library administrators acquire their leadership skills from a number of different sources: their library education, independent study and reading, mentors, and, notably, on-the-job experience, both positive and negative. Yet, for library professionals in mid-career, there is still often a need for reflection and consideration of one’s understanding of leadership, especially as it is distinguished from management and administration. In addition, as we know from various reports, we should be preparing for a fairly significant loss in library leadership due a “major wave of librarian retirements” sometime within the next decade.

This year, two library leaders have identified a particular focus—one on library education (Michael Gorman) and the other on advocacy for academic libraries (Camila Alire). With this renewed emphasis on educating future librarians, as well as the need to develop advocates and leaders throughout the library organization, and given the need in the library profession for leaders with vision and courage, our article is intended as commentary, but also to advocate for programs that can help develop leaders. Our experiences are based on two excellent programs that provide opportunities for leadership training for academic librarians: the one-week ACRL/Harvard Leadership Institute (Cambridge, MA) and the two-week Frye Leadership Institute (Atlanta, GA). Both, in somewhat different ways, provide current and future library leaders with opportunities for growth and transformation as professionals. While there are differences between the two programs, they have several characteristics in common.

Leadership programs
In both cases, the programs will be most useful for academic library professionals who are maturing leaders in mid-career. They assume a certain level of experience with leading an organization or a department within an organization in the higher education environment, including responsibility for strategic planning and the management of people and budgets. They also assume a commitment to something greater than an individual career. The experience is most powerful when the participants share a devotion to the “bigger idea”—the value...
of the library in the larger academic organization. Both institutes are for librarians who believe, as William Plater noted in a 1995 essay, that “the library is the means by which American universities will transform themselves into something entirely new.”

Both are for library professionals who wish not only to sustain, but to advance their organizations.

Since leadership is, to some extent, based on self-knowledge, there is the need in both programs for a level of openness to personal growth. As in all kinds of educational experiences, the fundamental ability to be able to listen nondefensively is important. These leadership programs ask participants to assess themselves honestly and to be willing to change and transform themselves based on a new understanding of individual roles and responsibilities within a larger organization.

Both programs will help develop credibility in participants who take the experiences seriously. Both can help to develop leaders who are continually open to new ideas, who have a compelling “story” to tell, and, importantly, who have the ability to step back from the level of the subjective (that is, being subject to stresses and frustrations) and be able to objectify those frustrations, to hold them up and study and analyze them.

Both require pre-readings and homework. Both involve classroom lectures and discussions. (The readings vary according to the year of attendance, and according to instructors and guest lecturers.) Instructors provide recommended readings that have included Mirage of Continuity (Brian Hawkins and Pat Battin), Reframing Organizations (Lee Bolman), and How the Way We Talk Can Change the Way We Work (Robert Kegan). The Frye Institute schedules speakers from a variety of academic perspectives; in previous years, they have included James Hilton, David Shulenburger, Stanley Katz, and Diane Oblinger, among many others. Each speaker brings a special perspective that leads to extensive discussions in class, and conversations that often continue outside of class.

In both cases, the participants come from a diverse group of institutions—adding to the rich mix and exchange of experience. In academic libraries, the variety and range of institutional size, institutional cultures, and economic factors present opportunities to increase our understanding of how external forces can impact all of us in similar ways. Whether one is from a small college or a large university, the exchange of ideas leads to thoughtful exploration of solutions that can be implemented in any institution. Finally, it is the personal transformation that takes place during each of the institutes that is perhaps the most valuable experience.

That is a lot that the two experiences have in common. But there are also differences in the programs—not in quality, but in depth and breadth.

**ACRL/Harvard Leadership Institute**

The ACRL/Harvard Leadership Institute uses the case study method to examine particular issues related to the nature of leadership itself. It poses the following questions:

- How effective is my leadership?
- How is my library positioned to meet the challenges of the present and future?

The most compelling portions of the curriculum are personal and meaningful conversations about what it means to be a leader in a rapidly evolving profession. These conversations take place in formal classroom settings as well as small-group sessions. The structure of the week is a university schedule in microcosm—a series of daily sessions with professors, each of whom had an area of expertise that they explored with the class, including leadership, financial management, and adult development. These are provocative sessions that give participants time to ponder questions that are essential to understanding themselves as evolving leaders. Comments from past participants frequently refer to being reinvigorated, revitalized, rejuvenated, and renewed. The quality of the faculty at Harvard is unanimously recognized by past participants; for us, it was one of the highest quality educational experiences of a lifetime.
All the participants of the ACRL/Harvard Institute are from academic libraries, giving the program a very specific focus: academic library leadership. It also provides participants with a cohort group that one seeks out at conferences and meetings, and from which one can find ongoing support as new challenges develop at our respective institutions.

The Frye Institute

The Frye Institute, on the other hand, includes participants from a variety of backgrounds in libraries, information technology, the faculty and administration in higher education throughout the world. In both cases, participants leave the programs with new relationships and colleagues. But because the Frye Institute is a longer immersion, one is likely to develop closer connections with fellow participants. While one can renew acquaintances at annual gatherings for alums of both programs, we have found that the discussion lists for the Frye Institutes continue to serve as connections with colleagues on an ongoing basis.

Both programs are “immersion experiences” and provide the opportunity to reflect on these issues away from the daily operational grind of the office, but there is a notable difference in the time commitment between the two. As noted, the ACRL/Harvard program is one week, and the Frye Institute is two weeks, including the weekend between. Participants at the Frye Institute, therefore, have a concentrated and extended time to study, and dig both deeper and wider into the material that is presented. The Frye faculty consists of university presidents and other administrators, faculty, researchers, librarians and technologists, each with a unique perspective but a real interest in the work of those who are attending the institute.

The daily schedule at Frye typically includes two to three sessions that share a common theme—for example: issues in higher education, institutional mission, student success, perspectives from different university positions (president, VP finance, faculty), and leadership styles. In all sessions, the recurring theme is participation in management and leadership “at the big table” (as Brian Hawkins says): that is, understanding the positions and concerns of the institution beyond the walls of the library. The Frye Institute places its emphasis on the importance of a campuswide perspective on the work of libraries, as well as focusing on the collaborative nature of library and IT interactions. Many sessions at Frye relate to the larger educational mission of the institution and provide participants with a new understanding of the role they play (as individuals and in organizations) in the academic enterprise.

Before going to Atlanta, each Frye fellow is required to prepare a proposal for a project that he or she will begin to explore during the institute and be expected to complete within a year of completing the institute. As an additional preparation for the experience, participants are required to interview key academic officials to get a sense of what issues are considered critical on their respective campuses. Participants leave Frye sensitized to the issues facing colleges and universities and with a better understanding of the role the library plays in the mission of the institution. Fellows are asked to consider the library’s contribution to teaching and learning at their institutions, and the most effective ways to collaborate with colleagues across the campus to achieve institutional goals.

Transformations

In assessing the personal transformations that took place for each of us, we both agree that we have become more visible and more vocal on our respective campuses. Although we both feel that previously we were advocates for our respective libraries, we also feel we are now more aware of and engaged with trends in higher education that are relevant to the services we provide, and we are better able to articulate our
roles and how the library can contribute to the educational mission in addressing these changes. While both institutes allowed us time for reflection, they also taught us to think in different ways to address the complexities of constant change while working within organizations that are often resistant to change. We have redefined ourselves, in a growing sense of our role: from “I’m a reference librarian” to “I’m a librarian” to “I’m an educator in support of the academic enterprise.” This movement away from specialization has brought us closer to the larger vision of the world in which we work. Further, we have each taken steps to become more involved in national organizations, either as presenters at conferences or participants in conference planning. For both of us, this transformation can be attributed to broadening our scope during the Frye Institute and the ACRL/Harvard Leadership Institute.

During this year of emphasis on library education and advocacy, we feel the need to focus attention on leadership institutes is vital for our continued success in transforming academic libraries in the digital age. Preparing leaders who are “visionaries, risk takers, good collaborators and communicators, mentors, and people with uncommon passion and persistence” is essential for the healthy future of academic libraries. Both of these institutes are excellent opportunities for individuals to explore ways to develop their own vision, to articulate it with passion, and to inspire and influence the direction of their organizations.

These are not the only institutes available for those who wish to pursue development of their leadership skills; for instance, a new institute for Academic Library Leadership has been advertised for the summer of 2006.5 There is a critical need for these programs, and the profession must find ways to ensure that opportunities such as these continue for the purpose of helping us “grow our own leaders.” In this time of rapid and ongoing transitions within academic libraries and academic institutions, we need leaders who are prepared to foster the transformations that are essential for the continued relevance and success of higher education.

Notes


